arXiv:1407.8129v1 [math.CO] 30 Jul 2014

On Relative Length of Long Paths and Cycles in Graphs

Zh.G. Nikoghosyan*

July 31, 2014

Abstract

Let G be a graph on n vertices, p the order of a longest path and κ the connectivity of G. In 1989, Bauer, Broersma Li and Veldman proved that if G is a 2-connected graph with $d(x) + d(y) + d(z) \geq n + \kappa$ for all triples x, y, z of independent vertices, then G is hamiltonian. In this paper we improve this result by reducing the lower bound $n + \kappa$ to $p + \kappa$.

Key words. Hamilton cycle, dominating cycle, longest path, connectivity.

1 Introduction

Throughout this article we consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. The set of vertices of a graph G is denoted by $V(G)$ and the set of edges by $E(G)$. A good reference for any undefined terms is [4]. For a graph G, we use n, δ, κ and α to denote the order (the number of vertices), the minimum degree, the connectivity and the independence number of G, respectively. If $\alpha \geq k$ for some integer k, let σ_k be the minimum degree sum of an independent set of k vertices; otherwise we let $\sigma_k = +\infty$.

Each vertex and edge in a graph can be interpreted as simple cycles of orders 1 and 2, respectively. A graph G is hamiltonian if G contains a Hamilton cycle, i.e. a cycle containing every vertex of G. A cycle C of a graph G is said to be dominating if $V(G \backslash C)$ is an independent set. The order of a longest path and a longest cycle in G are denoted by p and c, respectively. The difference $p - c$ is called relative length denoted by $diff(G)$. A connected graph G is hamiltonian if and only if $diff(G) = 0$, that is $c = p$. It is also easy to see that if $diff(G) \leq 1$, that is $c \geq p - 1$, then any longest cycle in G is a dominating cycle.

The earliest sufficient condition for a graph to be hamiltonian was developed in 1952 due to Dirac [6] in terms of order n and minimum degree δ.

*G.G. Nicoghossian (up to 1997)

Theorem A [6]. Every graph with $\delta \geq \frac{n}{2}$ is hamiltonian.

In 1960, Ore [11] improved Theorem A by replacing the minimum degree δ with the arithmetic mean $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2$ of two smallest degrees among pairwise nonadjacent vertices.

Theorem B [11]. Every graph with $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2 \geq \frac{n}{2}$ is hamiltonian.

The analog of Theorem A for dominating cycles was established in 1971 by Nash-Williams [9].

Theorem C [9]. If G is a 2-connected graph with $\delta \geq \frac{n+2}{3}$ then each longest cycle in G is a dominating cycle.

In 1980, Bondy [5] proved the degree sum version of Theorem C.

Theorem D [5]. If G is a 2-connected graph with $\frac{1}{3}\sigma_3 \geq \frac{n+2}{3}$ then each longest cycle in G is a dominating cycle.

In 1995, Enomoto, Heuvel, Kaneko and Saito [7] improved Theorem D by replacing the conclusion "each longest cycle in G is a dominating cycle" with $c \geq p-1$.

Theorem E [7]. If G is a 2-connected graph with $\frac{1}{3}\sigma_3 \geq \frac{n+2}{3}$ then $c \geq p-1$.

Using the original proof [11], Theorem B can be essentially improved by reducing the lower bound $\frac{n}{2}$ to $\frac{p}{2}$.

Theorem 1. If G is a connected graph with $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2 \geq \frac{p}{2}$ then $c = p = n$.

Theorem E can be improved by a similar way based on a result due to Ozeki and Yamashita [12].

Theorem 2. If G is a 2-connected graph with $\frac{1}{3}\sigma_3 \geq \frac{p+2}{3}$ then $c \geq p-1$.

The minimum degree versions of Theorems 1 and 2 follow immediately.

Corollary 1. If G is a connected graph with $\delta \geq \frac{p}{2}$ then $c = p = n$.

Corollary 2. If G is a 2-connected graph with $\delta \geq \frac{p+2}{3}$ then $c \geq p-1$.

We propose a conjecture containing Theorems 1 and 2 as special cases when $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = 2$.

Conjecture 1. If G is a λ-connected graph with

$$\frac{1}{\lambda+1}\sigma_{\lambda+1} \geq \frac{p+2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 2$$

then $c \geq p - \lambda + 1$.

The long cycles version of Conjecture 1 can be formulated as follows.

Conjecture 2. If G is a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 2$) graph then

$$c \geq \min\left\{p - \lambda + 2, \lambda\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma_\lambda - \lambda + 2\right)\right\}.$$

Conjecture 2 for $\lambda = 2$ was verified independently by Bondy [3] (1971), Bermond [2] (1976) and Linial [8] (1976).

Theorem F [2], [3], [8]. If G is a 2-connected graph then either G is hamiltonian or $c \geq \sigma_2$.

The minimum degree version of Theorem F was proved in 1952 by Dirac [6].

Theorem G [6]. If G is a 2-connected graph then either G is hamiltonian or $c \geq 2\delta$.

For $\lambda = 3$, Conjecture 2 follows immediately from the main result due to Ozeki and Yamashita [12].

Theorem H [12]. If G is a 3-connected graph then either $c \geq \sigma_3 - 3$ or $c \geq p - 1$.

In 1981, the bound $n/2$ in Theorem A was reduced to $(n + \kappa)/3$ for 2-connected graphs.

Theorem I [10]. If G is a 2-connected graph with $\delta \geq \frac{n+\kappa}{3}$ then G is hamiltonian.

The degree sum version of Theorem I was established in 1989 due to Bauer, Broersma, Li and Veldman [1].

Theorem J [1]. If G is a 2-connected graph with $\frac{1}{3}\sigma_3 \geq \frac{n+\kappa}{3}$ then G is hamiltonian.

The main result of this paper can be considered as an improvement of Theorem I by reducing the bound $(n + \kappa)/3$ to $(p + \kappa)/3$.

Theorem 3. If G is a 2-connected graph with $\frac{1}{3}\sigma_3 \geq \frac{p+\kappa}{3}$ then $c = p = n$.

The minimum degree version of Theorem 3 follows immediately.

Corollary 3. If G is a 2-connected graph with $\delta \geq \frac{p+\kappa}{3}$ then $c = p = n$.

The following conjecture contains Theorem 3 as a special case when $\lambda = 2$.

Conjecture 3. If G is a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 2$) graph with

$$\frac{1}{\lambda+1}\sigma_{\lambda+1} \geq \frac{p+\kappa+3}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 3$$

then $c \geq p - \lambda + 2$.

The long cycle version of Conjecture 3 can be formulated as follows.

Conjecture 4. If G is a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 3$) graph then either

$$c \geq \lambda\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma_\lambda - \frac{\kappa}{\lambda} - \lambda + 3\right)$$

or $c \geq p - \lambda + 3$.

Conjecture 4 for $\lambda = 3$ was verified by Yamashita [13].

Theorem K [13]. If G is a 3-connected graph then either $c \geq \sigma_3 - \kappa$ or G is hamiltonian.

The minimum degree version of Theorem K was established by the author [10].

Theorem L [10]. If G is a 3-connected graph then either $c \geq 3\delta - \kappa$ or G is hamiltonian.

To prove Theorem 2, we need the following result due to Ozeki and Yamashita [12].

Theorem M [12]. If G is a 2-connected graph then either $c \geq p-1$ or $c \geq \sigma_3 - 3$ or $\kappa = 2$ and $p \geq \sigma_3 - 1$.

2 Proofs

First we introduce some additional notation.

If P is a path in a graph G then we denote by $\overrightarrow{P}$ the path P with a given orientation, and by $\overleftarrow{P}$ the same path with reverse orientation. If $u, v \in V(P)$ and u precedes v on $\overrightarrow{P}$ then $u\overrightarrow{P}v$ denotes the consecutive vertices of P from u to v. The same vertices in reverse order are given by $v\overleftarrow{P}u$. We will consider $u\overrightarrow{P}v$

and $v\overleftarrow{P}u$ both as paths and as vertex sets. If $u \in V(P)$ then u^+ denotes the successor of u on $\overrightarrow{P}$ and u^- its predecessor. For $U \subseteq V(P)$, $U^+ = \{u^+ | u \in U\}$ and $U^- = \{u^- | u \in U\}$. Similar notation is used for cycles.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on standard arguments originally proposed by Ore [11].

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be a connected graph with $\sigma_2 \geq p$ and let $\overrightarrow{P} = x\overrightarrow{P}y$ be a longest path in G of order p. Clearly, $N(x) \cup N(y) \subseteq V(P)$.

Case 1. $xy \in E(G)$.

If $p < n$ then recalling that G is connected, we can construct a path longer than P, a contradiction. Otherwise $p = n$, implying that $c = p = n$.

Case 2. $xy \notin E(G)$.

It follows that $x \notin N(x) \cup N^+(y)$. If $N(x) \cap N^+(y) = \emptyset$ then

$$p \geq |N(x)| + |N^+(y)| + |\{x\}|$$

$$= |N(x)| + |N(y)| + 1 = d(x) + d(y) + 1 \geq \sigma_2 + 1,$$

contradicting the hypothesis. Now let $N(x) \cap N^+(y) \neq \emptyset$ and $z \in N(x) \cap N^+(y)$. Then $xz\overrightarrow{P}yz^-\overleftarrow{P}x$ is a cycle of order p and we can argue as in Case 1. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. Let G be a 2-connected graph with $\sigma_3 \geq p + 2$. By Theorem L, either $c \geq p - 1$ or $c \geq \sigma_3 - 3$ or $\kappa = 2$, $p \geq \sigma_3 - 1$. Recalling that $\sigma_3 \geq p + 2$ (by the hypothesis), we get either $c \geq p - 1$ or $p \geq p + 1$. Since the latter is impossible, we have $c \geq p - 1$. ■

Proof of Theorem 3. Let G be a 2-connected graph with $\sigma_3 \geq p + \kappa$. Assume first that $\kappa \geq 3$. By Theorem J, we can assume that $c \geq \sigma_3 - \kappa$, implying that $c \geq p$. If $c < n$ then clearly $p \geq c + 1$ (since G is connected), contradicting $c \geq p$. Hence $c = p = n$, that is G is hamiltonian.

Now assume that $\kappa = 2$. Since $\sigma_3 \geq p + \kappa = p + 2$, by Theorem 2, $c \geq p - 1$, implying that each longest cycle in G is a dominating cycle. Let C be a longest cycle in G.

Case 1. $d(x) = 2$ for some $x \in V(G \backslash C)$.

Since C is a dominating cycle, we have $N(x) \subseteq V(C)$. Set $N_C^+(x) = \{y, z\}$. By the maximality of C, we have $xy, xz \notin E(G)$. We have also $yz \notin E(G)$, since otherwise

$$y^-xz^-\overleftarrow{C}yz\overrightarrow{C}y^-$$

is a cycle longer than C. Thus, $\{x, y, z\}$ is an independent set of vertices. Further, if either $N(y) \not\subseteq V(C)$ or $N(z) \not\subseteq V(C)$ then we can form a path of order at least $c + 2$, contradicting $c \geq p - 1$. Hence, $N(y) \cup N(z) \subseteq V(C)$. Put

$$A = V(y^+\overrightarrow{C}z), \quad B = V(z^+\overrightarrow{C}y).$$

If $w \in N_A(y) \cap N_A^+(z)$ then

$$y^- x z^- \overleftarrow{C} w y \overrightarrow{C} w^- z \overrightarrow{C} y^-$$

is a cycle longer than C, a contradiction. Hence $N_A(y) \cap N_A^+(z) = \emptyset$. By a symmetric argument, $N_B^+(y) \cap N_B(z) = \emptyset$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c &\geq |N_A(y)| + |N_B^+(y)| + |N_A^+(z)| + |N_B(z)| \\ &= |N_C(y)| + |N_C(z)| = d(y) + d(z) \\ &= d(x) + d(y) + d(z) - 2 \geq \sigma_3 - 2 \geq p. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $d(v) \geq 3$ for each $v \in V(G \backslash C)$.

Let $S = \{v_1, v_2\}$ be a cut set of G and let $H_1, H_2, ..., H_t$ be the components of $G \backslash S$.

Case 2.1. $V(C) \subseteq V(H_i) \cup S$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, ..., t\}$.

Assume without loss of generality that $V(C) \subseteq V(H_1) \cup S$. Let $u_1 \in V(H_2)$. Since $u_1 \notin V(C)$, we have $d(u_1) \geq 3$. Then for each $u_2 \in N(u_1) \backslash \{v_1, v_2\}$, we have $u_1 u_2 \in E(G)$ and $u_1, u_2 \notin V(C)$. This means that C is not a dominating cycle, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. $V(C) \not\subseteq V(H_i) \cup S \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., t)$.

It follows that $V(C) \cap V(H_i) \neq \emptyset$ and $V(C) \cap V(H_j) \neq \emptyset$ for some distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., t\}$, say $i = 1$ and $j = 2$. Recalling also that $|S| = 2$, we conclude that $V(C) \subseteq V(H_1) \cup V(H_2) \cup S$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V(C)$. If $t \geq 3$ then we can argue as in Case 2.1. Hence $t = 2$. Clearly, C consists of two paths P_1 and P_2 with common end vertices v_1, v_2 and

$$V(P_i) \subseteq V(H_i) \cup S \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

In other words, $\overrightarrow{C} = v_1 \overrightarrow{P_1} v_2 \overrightarrow{P_2} v_1$. Further, if $V(C) = V(H_1) \cup V(H_2) \cup S$ then $c = p = n$, and we are done. Otherwise we can choose $x \in V(G \backslash C)$. Since $v_1, v_2 \in V(C)$, we have $x \in V(H_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, say $x \in V(H_1)$. We have $N(x) \subseteq V(C)$, since C is a dominating cycle. Choose $y \in N^+(x)$ such that $|v_1 \overrightarrow{P_1} y|$ is as small as possible. If $w \in N(x) \cap N^-(y)$ then

$$v_1 \overrightarrow{C} y^- x w \overleftarrow{C} y w^+ \overrightarrow{C} v_1$$

is a cycle longer than C, a contradiction. Hence, $N(x) \cap N^-(y) = \emptyset$, implying that

$$|P_1| \geq |N(x)| + |N^-(y)| - |\{v_1^-\}| \geq d(x) + d(y) - 1.$$

Case 2.2.1. $V(P_2) = V(H_2) \cup S$.

Clearly, $|P_2| \geq |N(z)| + |\{z\}| \geq d(z) + 1$ for each $z \in V(H_2)$ and $\{x, y, z\}$ is an independent set of vertices. Then

$$c \geq |P_1| + |P_2| - |\{v_1, v_2\}|$$

$$\geq (d(x) + d(y) - 1) + (d(z) + 1) - 2 \geq \sigma_3 - 2 \geq p.$$

Case 2.2.2. $V(P_2) \neq V(H_2) \cup S$.

Let $z \in V(H_2) \backslash V(P_2)$. Since C is a dominating cycle, we have $N(z) \subseteq V(C)$. Then, since C is extreme, $|P_2| \geq |N(z)| + |N^+(z)| - 1 \geq 2d(z) - 1$. Observing also that $\{x, y, z\}$ is an independent set of vertices, we get

$$c \geq |P_1| + |P_2| - 2 \geq (d(x) + d(y) - 1) + (2d(z) - 1) - 2$$

$$\geq (\sigma_3 - 2) + d(z) - 2 \geq \sigma_3 - 2 \geq p. \qquad \blacksquare$$

References

[1] D. Bauer, H.J. Broersma, R. Li and H.J. Veldman, A generalization of a result of Haggkvist and Nicoghossian, J. Combin. Theory B47 (1989) 237-243.

[2] J.C. Bermond, On Hamiltonian walks, Congr Numer 15 (1976) 41-51.

[3] J.A. Bondy, Large cycles in graphs, Discrete Math. 1 (1971) 121-131.

[4] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).

[5] J.A. Bondy, Longest paths and cycles in graphs of high degree, Research Report CORR 80-16. University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, 1980.

[6] G.A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, Proc. London, Math. Soc. 2 (1952) 69-81.

[7] H. Enomoto, J. van den Heuvel, A, Kaneko and A. Saito, Relative length of long paths and cycles in graphs with large degree sums, J. Graph Theory 20 (1995) 213-225.

[8] N. Linial, A lower bound on the circumference of a graph, Discrete Math. 15 (1976) 297-300.

[9] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint hamiltonian cycles in graphs with vertices of large valency, in: L. Mirsky, ed., "Studies in Pure Mathematics", pp. 157-183, Academic Press, San Diego/London (1971).

[10] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, On maximal cycle of a graph, DAN Arm. SSR, v. LXXII, 2 (1981) 82-87.

[11] O. Ore, A note on hamiltonian circuits, Am. Math. Month. 67 (1960) 55.

[12] K. Ozeki and T. Yamashita, Length of longest cycles in a graph whose relative length is at least two, Graphs and combinatorics, 28 (2012) 859-868.

[13] T. Yamashita, A degree sum condition for longest cycles in 3-connected graphs, J. Graph Theory 54 (2007) 277-283.

Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems
National Academy of Sciences
P. Sevak 1, Yerevan 0014, Armenia
E-mail: zhora@ipia.sci.am